

		City College and who is being featured in the upcoming Favorite Lecture series. Angelico Campos recognized the Councilmembers and thanked them for sharing their knowledge and expertise.
8.	Old Business	
	a) Continue the Discussion of Public Comment Protocols and Procedures (Discussion/Possible Action) Continue the Discussion of Roles and Responsibilities of PGC Members (Discussion)	Simon Hanson continued the discussion on the Public Comment Protocols and stated that if the PGC committee would like to continue asking the public to submit their comments half an hour before the meeting to participate, then this procedure should be codified. He reminded the Councilmembers that this body has not specifically addressed what the goals and objectives regarding public comments are. He suggests that this discussion should become a future agenda item, which should be brought back to the PGC meeting after each constituency group had a chance and enough time to come up with specific input on the matter. This input will also help with the future discussions about the return to campus. Wendy Miller suggested that perhaps each constituency group should have a discussion among themselves and then bring their ideas about the possible action to the PGC meeting. Heather Brandt feels that the current webinar format is not inclusive and the practice of submitting the public comments at least half an hour before the meeting creates barriers to engagement. Angelica Campos agreed that the current practice is prohibitive, but she recognizes that allowing unfiltered public comments can be overwhelming. Sending out a general survey or



to the Administration and the Board. The motion is seconded by Maria Salazar-Colón. No opposition, everyone is in favor. Motion passes. Harry Bernstein mentioned that the public comments in the chat are disabled and questioned the value that the public comments currently have. b) R2C Update (Meeting Notes) Alexis Litsky recognized Alberto Vasquez for his hard work on return to the campus effort, and in c) Continue the Discussion return Alberto Vasquez thanked Alexis for taking of Campus Re-opening up the challenge and offered his support in the Plans and Procedures, future. R2C Planning Notes draft was shared with Role of RTC, including the Councilmembers. Conduct of PGC meetings The return to campus workgroup is undergoing identity transformation since shelter in place has been lifted and some of the policies are starting to change. The PGC Health and Safety Committee are editing some of the policies regarding issues such as booster shots, door monitoring, and what constitutes a fully vaccinated person. The lifting of the door monitor feature after the spring break is being considered to give people more access to the buildings. Another idea being considered is the creation of a sample live meeting space on campus. Security issues regarding "zoom bombing" during hybrid meetings are being discussed, as well as the concerns about actualizing hybrid meeting spaces and making them accessible. Communication is goin security issues



exists as a separate work group, which is the reason for some of the breakdowns in communication. This is a potential action item for the discussion. Additionally, the issue regarding door monitoring is not something that PGC committee has any influence on, and a decision needs to be made regarding the partnership between the PGC and the return to campus workgroup.

Rosario Del Rosario Villasana mentioned that Deans should not be expected to monitor the doors, and that the buildings of City College are public buildings and should be open to the public.

Jill Yee agreed that the procedure of the door monitoring that was placed on Deans is unsustainable and unfair. She believes that door monitoring should be eliminated, and buildings should be opened at limited entrances. Additionally, she feels concerned about making the return to campus workgroup a part of the PGC given the time constraints and where we are at on the timeline of returning back to the campus.

Alexis Litsky mentioned that the school will start with a "soft opening" to strike a balance between the desire to move away from the door monitoring and desire of certain students and faculty to still feel protected.

Maria Salazar-Colon approves of the official return to the campus workgroup and hopes that it will add structure to the process. She believes that the discussions about how to implement a hybrid model should be added to the future agenda. The hybrid and online formats are best

	for those who are still working and studying remotely. Simon Hanson added that PGC should take the lead on creating and testing out hybrid meeting spaces.

		Simon Hanson added that the Associated Students and Academic Senate have already endorsed this document and wanted to ask if the PGC would also like endorse it. Jill Yee added that the Administrators Association also had an opportunity to review and provide input on the document. The motion to formally endorse this document at PGC is moved and seconded. Motion to adopt.
		Harry Bernstein wanted to know why the PGC would not take the point of view of a public member who is also trying to participate but can't voice his opinion in the chat. He also wanted to know if the faculty had to justify medically if they feel like they cannot return to the classroom.
10	Standing Committee Reports	
	a) Accreditation Steering Committee	a) Kristin Charles shared Accreditation Steering Committee Update. In the Fall the draft responses to the Standards will be shared with the college community. Deadline for ICER (Institutional Self-
	b) <u>Planning Committee Updates</u>	

	exceed stretcht58 694.68 Tm[ex)-3 (c)3 (ee)-3 (d)]

PGC Meeting Summary of Actions Taken on March 17, 2022

Committee Updates:

Agenda Item	Action Taken